

Message

From: Walker, Stuart [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=6907CF9284BF4BD5831517C27ECE9C53-SWALKE02]
Sent: 3/26/2019 7:52:24 PM
To: Libelo, Laurence [Libelo.Laurence@epa.gov]
Subject: Re: DRAFT EPA Letter responding to Navy 3/15/19 letter re Hunters Point - request comments COB today Eastern time

Probably not. They haven't mentioned any plausible technical rationale to the region yet. ps, your phone number in the email system is wrong.

From: Libelo, Laurence
Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2019 3:07 PM
To: Walker, Stuart
Subject: FW: DRAFT EPA Letter responding to Navy 3/15/19 letter re Hunters Point - request comments COB today Eastern time

Comments? Are we OK with the Navy using RESRAD vs the calculator?

From: Woolford, James
Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2019 2:52 PM
To: Libelo, Laurence <Libelo.Laurence@epa.gov>; Fitz-James, Schatzi <Fitz-James.Schatzi@epa.gov>; Lowery, Brigid <Lowery.Brigid@epa.gov>; Stalcup, Dana <Stalcup.Dana@epa.gov>; Gartner, Lois <Gartner.Lois@epa.gov>; Jennings, Robert <Jennings.Robert@epa.gov>
Cc: Walker, Stuart <Walker.Stuart@epa.gov>
Subject: Fwd: DRAFT EPA Letter responding to Navy 3/15/19 letter re Hunters Point - request comments COB today Eastern time

FYSA. ARD - lead to get back to region 9.

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "LEE, LILY" <LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV>
Date: March 26, 2019 at 2:36:31 PM EDT
To: "Gervais, Gregory" <Gervais.Gregory@epa.gov>, "Leff, Karin" <Leff.Karin@epa.gov>, "Woolford, James" <Woolford.James@epa.gov>, "Stalcup, Dana" <Stalcup.Dana@epa.gov>
Cc: "Azad, Ava" <Azad.Ava@epa.gov>, "Young, Dianna" <Young.Dianna@epa.gov>, "Maldonado, Lewis" <Maldonado.Lewis@epa.gov>, "Manzanilla, Enrique" <Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov>, "Chesnutt, John" <Chesnutt.John@epa.gov>, "Burchette, John" <Burchette.John@epa.gov>, "Dalzell, Sally" <Dalzell.Sally@epa.gov>, "Walker, Stuart" <Walker.Stuart@epa.gov>
Subject: DRAFT EPA Letter responding to Navy 3/15/19 letter re Hunters Point - request comments COB today Eastern time

All,

Enrique asked me to forward to you the attached short letter in response to the attached 3/15 letter from the Navy. Please provide any comments by COB eastern time, today. We plan to issue the letter by COB Pacific time today.

Next, we will slightly retool the previous longer draft letter that Angeles sent you 3/14 for review. This will put forth a path forward, using the previous letter as a basis. We will send the retooled version to you for review soon.

Respectfully,
Lily

From: Manzanilla, Enrique
Sent: Tuesday, March 26, 2019 10:08 AM
To: Stoker, Michael B. <stoker.michael@epa.gov>
Cc: Jordan, Deborah <Jordan.Deborah@epa.gov>; Hage, Christopher <hage.christopher@epa.gov>; Munoz, Charles <munoz.charles@epa.gov>; Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>; Maldonado, Lewis <Maldonado.Lewis@epa.gov>; Butler, Thomas <Butler.Thomas@epa.gov>; Chesnutt, John <Chesnutt.John@epa.gov>; LEE, LILY <LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV>; Fairbanks, Brianna <Fairbanks.Brianna@epa.gov>
Subject: DRAFT Letter responding to Navy letter re Hunters Point
Importance: High

Mike:

This is the latest draft of my response to Laura Duchnak's March 15 letter (also attached) where she proposed using RESRAD instead of the PRG calculator for risk calculations. I'm using my letter to flag some issues with switching to RESRAD (it could delay field work) and propose a meeting with her and her team, as well as the State folks, to discuss the RESRAD issue and a path forward on the Parcel G workplan and the 5 year review.

I'm also sharing this Draft with our HQs colleagues for their review. I'm trying to get this letter out by COB today.

Please let me know if you have any comments or concerns about the letter.

Enrique